

Guidance for how abstracts will be reviewed 2023 - ARM

| Item | Poor | Average | Very good | Score |
|--------------------|---|--|--|-------|
| Originality | 0-3 Topic already exhausted | 4-7 On going topic, some questions answered; some unanswered | 8-10 Original not studied before, or fresh look at previously addressed questions | |
| Clinical relevance | 0-3 No value | 4-7 Some value | 8-10 Real need and totally unaddressed territory | |
| Study type | 0-3 Small audit/ case series <50 cases (non-consecutive or not stated) | 4-7 Large audit or case control/cohort study (e.g. >50 cases) | 8-10 RCT following consort standards | |
| Study design | 0-1 Inappropriate for aim/objective. No hypothesis or power calculation. | 2-3 Poor for aim and objectives. Some validated outcome measures. Weak hypothesis and power calculation | 4-5 Appropriate for aim and objectives. Validated outcome measures. Sound hypothesis and appropriate power calculation. | |
| Conclusions | 0-1 Irrelevant to study | 2-3 Poorly substantiated | 4-5 Accurate and well substantiated | |